### AT: Hager

#### HAGER is an analysis of a SINGLE West German movement from decades ago – prefer our evidence which specific to modern energy policymaking

#### And their author concludes NEG – overfocus on technical language trades off with democratization of energy At best this means ONLY the ALT and NOT the aff is best, at worst it proves our framework perm – our MOMENT OF DISSENSUS is key to solve

Hager ’92 (, professor of political science – Bryn Mawr College, ‘92 (Carol J., “Democratizing Technology: Citizen & State in West German Energy Politics, 1974-1990” Polity, Vol. 25, No. 1, p. 45-70)

Bureaucratic authorities had long legitimated their control of energy policy making on the basis of

AND

politics themselves; self- determination was the aim of their activity.48

[CONTINUED….MANY PAGES LATER IN CONCLUSION]

Submission to the form and language of technical debate, however, weakened activists' attempts

AND

possibility of a dia- logue that is both technically sophisticated and democratic.

### AT: Shellenberger and Nordhaus

#### They’re idiot apologists for the status quo – alt solves best

Brulle ‘9 [Robert, Professor of Sociology and Environmental Science, Department of Culture and Communications, Drexel University, “The New Conservatism or Ecological Romanticism: A False Dichotomy”, <http://thinkprogress.org/climate/2009/05/22/204144/waxman-markey-offsets-breakthrough-institute-shellenberger-nordhaus-media/>]

In their recent essay, “The Green Bubble”, Nordhaus and Shellenberger launch a

AND

and simplistic solution. Ideological diatribes only make a hard task more difficult.

### SMRs – AT: No Link – Top

#### Be skeptical – the promise of a “new” reactor that solves nuclear power’s oppressive nature is a tired and failed tactic

Lovins ‘9 [Amory, Chairman and Chief Scientist of the Rocky Mountain Institute, Nuclear and Energy Activist since the 1960s, has ten honorary doctorates and was elected a Fellow of the American Association for the Advancement of Science in 1984, of the World Academy of Art and Science in 1988, and of the World Business Academy in 2001, ““New” nuclear reactors, same old story”, Rocky Mountain Institute, 21 March, http://www.rmi.org/Knowledge-Center/Library/2009-07\_NuclearSameOldStory]

No new kind of reactor is likely to be much, if at all,

AND

energy, climate, and proliferation problems, led by business for profit.

### AT: Perm – Nuclear

#### Second – Astroturfing – the perm’s synthetic concern for justice is cynical PR stunt to divide and conquer marginalized community opposition

Palmer ’11 [Brian, Fellow at New York University Law School's Center on Law and Security, and an independent multimedia journalist and documentary filmmaker based in Brooklyn, NY. He writes for Mother Jones, Huffington Post, ColorLines, and other publications and blogs. He has contributed video reporting to PBS's Now with David Brancaccio and World Politics Review, “The Nuclear Industry’s Astroturf Movement in Communities of Color”, Color Lines, <http://colorlines.com/archives/2011/05/the_nuclear_industrys_fake_equity_concerns_for_people_of_color.html>]

Joseph’s pitch for nuclear energy as environmental justice is an increasingly common twist on the

AND

Point’s upside—electricity, in a word—and minimizing its downside.

### Condo

#### FALSE NETURALITY DISAD—Extend Wendt All interpretations both create and destroy ground— Fairness collapses into SUBSTANTIVE AGENDAS

**Olson 2k2.** (Gary A. Olson, Professor of English @ University of South Florida. “Justifying Belief: Stanley Fish and the Work of Rhetoric” p. 51-52, p. 64-65]

In intractable policy debates, invoking the principle of fairness will not advance these debates

AND

can to implement them in the world” (8-9). […]

**continued…**

Fish, of course, insists that no such independent position is possible, that

AND

(144). Fish in effect re-describes how formalism operates within legal

### AT: Link Turn – Coal (Nuclear)

#### AND, We control uniqueness and the alt solves – declining demand in the US means coal will just be exported to the third world – but global environmental justice movements are the key to stopping them

Guay 11-20-12 [Justin, leads the Sierra Club’s International Program, Worldwide, Local Communities Are Defying King Coal’s Global Expansion,

<http://thinkprogress.org/climate/2012/11/20/1223121/worldwide-local-communities-are-defying-king-coals-global-expansion/>]

For the past few years, the Beyond Coal campaign has been working with local

AND

— showing the world that coal is the problem, not the solution.

### Alt 2NC

#### The alt’s interruption is a prerequisite to nuclear power deliberation – connecting it to high magnitude events backfires and trades off with addressing community concerns

Weart, 91. (Spencer R. Weart, Director of the Centre for History of Physics @ American Institute of Physics. “Images of Nuclear Energy: Why People Feel the Way they Do” Special Report, IAEA Bulletin, 3/1991. Online. [J.Reed])

To sum up, what has given nuclear energy its special power? Its remarkable

AND

benefit, we cannot expect them to respond to the technical realities alone.

### Case Outweighs

B –scale of impact calculus comes first. Systemic injustice persists through an elite scale of that trivializes structural violence and over codes local struggles with global threats – also a DA to f/w

McCan 3 [Eugene, Professor of Geography – Ohio State University, “FRAMING SPACE AND TIME IN THE CITY: URBAN POLICY AND THE POLITICS OF SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL SCALE” JOURNAL OF URBAN AFFAIRS, Volume 25, Number 2, pages 159–178]

My purpose is to consider how a burgeoning literature in critical human geography can provide

AND

sorts of scalar arguments that were, for instance, exhibited in Austin.

Busby concludes other way – CX – and cites PINKER

**PINKER concludes NEG on hegemony**

**Pinker** 20**11** Steven, The Better Angels of Our Nature: Why Violence Has Declined, Google Books

In fact, the Democratic Peace theory did even better than its advocates hoped.

AND

1980s, none of which subsequently went to war.231 Russett and One

**OWEN - the paragraph AFTER says Hegemonic Stability is conjecture that canNOT be tested – that means they do NOT meet the threshold for empiricism**

**Their author ’11** [Owen]

The trouble with hegemonic stability theory is that it is difficult to test. The

AND

are now seeing is about much more than the humbling of a superpower.