# Addon

#### Water Wars

##### A. Wrong conclusions—water scarcity causes cooperation, not conflict

Tertrais, ’11 (Senior Research Fellow at the Fondation pour la recherche strate´gique

(Foundation for Strategic Research), and a TWQ editorial board member, TWQ, 34:3)

And water crises do not mean water wars. The issue of access to water

AND

20th century in the Middle East, South Asia, or Southeast Asia.

##### B. Empirically proven—water wars are unlikely and the last one was 4,500 years ago.

Doyle 6, Alister Doyle, Environmental correspondent, Reuters, 9/17/06, “Water Wars” loom? But none in past 4,500 years” <http://harowo.com/2006/09/17/water-wars-loom-but-none-in-past-4500-years/>

With a steady stream of bleak predictions that "water wars" will be fought

AND

go to the brink of war over water and then resolve their differences."

### CCP

#### China lashout only temporary- they’ll reverse course

**Zakaria 8** (Fareed, pg. <http://www.newsweek.com/id/131751/page/2>)

So why doesn't the Chinese regime see this? Beijing has a particular problem.

AND

dynasties have often been judged by their success in preserving the country's geography.

#### CCP will avoid collapse – adaptation of the regime key

**Hsu 8** – Assistant Research Fellow, Institute of Political Science at Academia Sinica

(Szu-chien, Edited by I Yuan, “Crossstrait at the Turning Point,” Chapter 7, Pg 142-144, <http://iir.nccu.edu.tw/attachments/research/publication/crossstrait_at_the_turning_point/ch7.pdf>) RA

It is undeniable that the CCP regime has in recent years adopted many new political

AND

country, which ranks number one among all the surveyed 24 countries. 109

# Elections

## O/V

**Russian war outweighs and turns the case—Only scenario for extinction-an all out nuclear war is more probable than their prolif scenarios and the consequences would be greater—the risk of extinction is high-both the U.S. and Russia have large nuclear aresanls that would be used in a future confrontation and the risk of things like a Russian miscalc means its try or die for the negative. That’s bostrom 2 Our impacts also happen faster than warming—1. Case debate proves warming is inevitable, and even if it isn’t warming will take a long time to result in extinction, our impacts happen in the next 2 weeks if Romney is elected and 2. Solvency deficits on case means that they don’t solve warming impacts for at least 10 years—means you default to the disad**

the 21st century.

#### Disad turns the case:

**Romney tanks warming policy—he’s anti renewable energy and is promoting status quo energy optinons-he would block nuclear energy and the transition towards renewables the plan spurs**

**Wood, 9/6**/

describes a more narrow federal role, one where funding goes to basic research.

**And u.s.-russia Cooperation key to solve prolif-this relationship is necessary to prevent international actors from acquiring nuclear weapons materials including countries like Iran that won’t listen to the u.s.**

**Sestanovich** et al, top Russia expert at the Council on Foreign Relations, ’6 (

## Uniqueness

**AP 10/29 – card is much better it factors in CURRENT polls in key places like Ohio where the electoral college only matters- their card has no warrant for a. Romney getting 330 votes and b. only accoutns for economics**

**Obama will win now but it will be close.**

MICHAEL D**. SHEAR and** MARK **LANDLER 11/1**, http://www.nytimes.com/2012/11/02/us/politics/after-storm-hiatus-presidential-race-is-back-in-full-swing.html

Mr. Obama enters the last stretch with a slight and consistent — but in

AND

voters will help him overcome the president’s state-by-state organization.

**Obama will now and Ohio is key – 77.4% chance now.**

Nate **Silver 10/31**, 538, http://fivethirtyeight.blogs.nytimes.com/

Mr. Obama made gains in the FiveThirtyEight forecast on Tuesday, with his chances

AND

Mr. Obama, did not publish results on account of Hurricane Sandy.

**It will be close but state polls show Obama electoral college victory.**

Nate **Silver 8/30**, 538/Election Guru, http://fivethirtyeight.blogs.nytimes.com/2012/10/30/oct-29-polling-slows-as-storm-wreaks-havoc/#more-36901

President Obama had a mediocre day of polling in the battleground states. In particular

AND

. Romney’s increasing to 27.1 percent from 25.4 percent.

**Err to evidence from 538 – Nate Silver is a awesome.**

**Leigh Bureau ‘10** the world’s preeminent lecture bureau, “Nate Silver,” http://www.leighbureau.com/speaker.asp?id=498

Nate Silver has been called a "spreadsheet psychic" and "number-crunching

AND

correctly predicted the presidential winner in 49 states and the District of Columbia.¶

## A2: Incentives Now

#### No there’s no commercial construction in the US as per their evidence – also our Levine assumes their evidence and shows that Obama is avoiding NEW discussion about nuclear power because of its unpopularity –his campaign is anti-nuclear—and public opposes new construction

## Links

**Levin 9/7 – Obama campaign is avoiding the issue**

**SMRs massively unpopular –**

**Smith ’10** (Rebecca, Contributor, “Small Reactors Generate Big Hopes”, The Wall Street Journal, 2-18-10, <http://www.generatorsystems.com/pdf/Small%20Reactors%20Generate%20Big%20Hopes%20WSJ%2002-18-2010.pdf>, accessed 8-1-12)

"We see significant benefits from the new, modular technology," said Donald Moul

AND

progressively bigger, ending up at about 1,000 megawatts of capacity.

**Their SMR’s popular evidence is wrong and the link turns the case**

**Baker ‘12 (**Matthew Baker, 6-22-12 American Security Project, “Do Small Modular Reactors Present a Serious Option for the Military’s Energy Needs?” <http://americansecurityproject.org/blog/2012/do-small-modular-reactors-present-a-serious-option-for-the-militarys-energy-needs/>b

Thirdly, some supporters of SMR technology seem to have a skewed opinion of public

AND

stone of the military’s energy needs the public needs to be on board.

**And they’ll independently spin the plan to stoke fears – misinformation magnifies the link.**

**Dears 12**. [Donn, Energy expert retired from GE Company, President of TSAugust a 501 (C) 3 not for profit corporation “Why Environmentalists Are Wrong About Nuclear Power” June 7 -- http://epaabuse.com/7459/editorials/why-environmentalists-are-wrong-about-nuclear-power/]

**The lack of communications and** the lack of **knowledge** **among** the **people about radiation created fear** – **nameless and unreasonable fear.**¶ **The Fukushima accident has reignited fear** among people **about radiation**. **When a tuna fish off the coast of California was found to have low levels of radiation, it was headlined by the media**. **Those opposing nuclear power have used Fukushima to exploit people’s fear about radiation.**

**Anti-nuclear environmentalist groups take every advantage to protest nuke power – plan sets them off.**

**Gamble 11**. [Jack, nuclear industry engineer, “Antinuclear Activists Will Try to Equate Hiroshima with Fukushima” Nuclear Fissionary -- July 25 -- http://nuclearfissionary.com/2011/07/25/antinuclear-activists-will-try-to-equate-hiroshima-with-fukushima/?utm\_source=feedburner&utm\_medium=feed&utm\_campaign=Feed%3A+NuclearFissionary+%28Nuclear+Fissionary%29]

But that won’t stop the antinuclear fear mongers from writing editorials and planning protests of

AND

for a living, I suppose there is little standing in your way.

**Obama re-election requires environmentalist support- knows they will stay home if not appeased**

**Schow ‘12** [Ashe, Heritage Action’s Deputy Communications Director, “Pres. Obama continues to pander to environmentalists” Heritage Action for America -- January 9 -- http://heritageaction.com/2012/01/pres-obama-continues-to-pander-to-environmentalists/]

It seems that President Obama is worried about whether or not environmentalists will come out

AND

he denying them to anyone, especially his friends in the labor unions?

**Environmentalist backlash turns case-will flood nuke power industry with lawsuits and raise the cost of nuclear so it can’t compete with other forms of energy**

**Mick ’10** (6/19/10 [Jason Daily Tech, Obama Fights For Nuclear, Environmentalists Label Him a Shill http://www.dailytech.com/Obama+Fights+For+Nuclear+Environmentalists+Label+Him+a+Shill/article18781.htm]

Despite these small victories, President Obama's nuclear vision faces many impending obstacles. Despite

AND

compete with the most expensive forms of nuclear energy, like solar power.

## A2: Link Turns

**Card doesn’t talk about construction and was**

**Only a risk of the link – public massively opposed to nuclear expansion and there’s no constituency to lobby for the plan.**

**CSI 12**. [Civil Society Institue, “SURVEY: CONGRESS, WHITE HOUSE FOCUS ON FOSSIL FUELS, NUCLEAR POWER IS OUT OF TOUCH WITH VIEWS OF MAINSTREAM AMERICA” November 3 -- http://www.civilsocietyinstitute.org/media/110311release.cfm]

If Congress thinks it has found a winning issue in trashing wind and solar power

AND

are actually quite striking findings in the context of the 2012 election campaign."¶

**Their link turns assume squo levels of nuke power – the world of the aff is massively unpopular – how the question is asked is key – prefer our link.**

**Mariotte 12**. [Michael, Executive Director of Nuclear Information and Resource Service, “Nuclear Power and Public Opinion: What the polls say” Daily Kos -- June 5 -- http://www.dailykos.com/story/2012/06/05/1097574/-Nuclear-Power-and-Public-Opinion-What-the-polls-say]

Conclusion 3: On new reactors, how one asks the question matters.¶ Gallup

AND

33 percent supported building a nuclear power plant in their own local area.”

## A2 – Sandy

**Storms won’t impact the election.**

Nate **Silver 10/30**, 538/Election Guru, http://fivethirtyeight.blogs.nytimes.com/2012/10/30/oct-29-polling-slows-as-storm-wreaks-havoc/#more-36901

On Monday, I mentioned the possibility that Hurricane Sandy could increase the chance of

AND

increase civic-mindedness and patriotism, attitudes that make voting more likely.

## A2: Intrinsicness

**1. Destroys neg ground – allows the aff to perm any disad and makes it impossible for the neg to garner any off-case positions.**

**2. Makes the aff a moving target – they can add whatever planks they want to shift out of our offense.**

**3. Politics disads are good – they’re key to learning about the political process, current events, and implementation.**

**4. We’re intrinsic – the fact that sequestration is mutually exclusive with the affirmative proves why it’s directly affected by plan passage.**

**5. Voter for fairness and education.**

## A2 – After Election

**Should means now.**

**Summer, '94** (Oklahoma Supreme Court -- Justice, http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/DeliverDocument.asp?CiteID=20287#marker3fn14)

The legal question to be resolved by the court is whether the word "

AND

. 336, 337, 27 L.Ed. 201 (1882).

**The plan happens before the election---Congress is holding pro forma sessions now**

**Cox 9/24** Ramsey is a writer for The Hill. “Congress to hold pro forma sessions until November,” 2012, http://thehill.com/blogs/floor-action/senate/251313-congress-to-hold-pro-forma-sessions-until-november

**Rather than being in recess for more than five weeks, both the Senate and the House decided to hold pro forma sessions until after the November elections.**

**Legislation can be passed then**

**Cover 12** Matt is a writer at CNS news. “Democrats Passed Payroll Tax Extension During ‘Pro Forma’ Session on Dec. 23,” 1/6, http://cnsnews.com/news/

(CNSNews.com) – Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid (D-Nev

AND

the unanimous consent proceeding to pass the two-month payroll tax extension.

## No Internal Link

#### Energy key – half the voters think its an important issue which is massive enough to shift the election especially if the plan was announced the day before – swingstates like Ohio and Virginia would backlash based on coal and renewable energy promises

**Energy is key-47 % of voters said energy is the reason why they’ll vote for Obama or Romney-that’s finzel 10/21 from the 1NC**

**Finzel, 10/21** (

## A2: Romney will work with Russia

#### No – Putin distrusts him immediately and could shut down relations independently if that’s true and ROmeny has labeled them the no 1 geopolitical foe – also their card doesn’t say Romney WILL act that way just that he should – massive distinction

**Romney will react in line with campaign rhetoric and Russia will react aggressively**

**Adomanis 10/23**/12 (Mark, international contributor @ Forbes, “How Will Mitt Romney Demonstrate 'Backbone' With Vladimir Putin?” http://www.forbes.com/sites/markadomanis/2012/10/23/how-will-mitt-romney-demonstrate-backbone-with-vladimir-putin/)

Now because the debate was poorly run there wasn’t any follow-up on Romney’s

AND

Distribution Network in the face of aggressive attempts to weaken and marginalize it.